

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,959	10/24/2003	Ratan K. Chaudhuri	EMI-55	6979
23599 759	90 11/29/2006		EXAM	INER
*	IITE, ZELANO & BRA	LEITH, PATRICIA A		
2200 CLARENI SUITE 1400	DON BLVD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON,	VA 22201		1655	·
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No. 10/691,959		Applicant(s) CHAUDHURI, RATAN K.				
						Examiner		Art Unit
		Patricia Le		1655				
		The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	E of this communication a	ppears on the	cover sheet with the	e correspondence a	ddress	
WHICHEVER IS LONGE - Extensions of time may be availa after SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set or	TORY PERIOD FOR REF ER, FROM THE MAILING able under the provisions of 37 CFR mailing date of this communication. I above, the maximum statutory perion extended period for reply will, by state later than three months after the mail See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH 1.136(a). In no eve od will apply and will tute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION Int, however, may a reply be expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cation to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this (NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1) Responsive to con	nmunication(s) filed on 08	September 2	006.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINA	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 September 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) Since this applicat	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1,9-13,17	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,9-13,17-24 and 28-30</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1, 9-13, 17-24 and 28-30</u> is/are rejected.							
_								
8) Claim(s) are	☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is	objected to by the Exami	ner						
	•		objected to by the	e Examiner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.03(a).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19							
12) Acknowledgment is	made of a claim for foreign	an priority und	er 35 II S.C. & 1196	(a)-(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
·— <u> </u>	<i>,</i> —	ents have beer	received.					
<u> </u>	e certified copies of the pr				l Stage			
_ ,	rom the International Bure	<u>-</u>						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
	ent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail	o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application				
Information Disclosure States Paper No(s)/Mail Date			6) Other:	n r atent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1, 9-13, 17-24 and 28-30 are pending in the application.

The previous rejection set forth under 35 USC 112 First paragraph is hereby removed due to Applicant's most recent amendments to the claims.

Upon further consideration, a new rejection is in order:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 9-13, 17-24 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites "with deviations for each of said components being plus or minus 10%". A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board

Art Unit: 1655

of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 1 recites the broad recitation of 20-35% of Embilicanin A for example, and the claim also recites 30-35% (for example) which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Further, it is deemed that "with deviations for each of said components being plus or minus 10% is indefinite because it cannot be determined what percentages of each component Applicant intends to claim. Does this mean that Embilicanin A for example, can be present from 10-45%? Or does it mean that Embilicanin A can be present at 30-45% (which would be 10% more) or 10-25% (which would be 10% less)? The phrase is so vague that the ordinary artisan would not know if they were in possession of the claimed percentage ranges, and therefore the claim is indefinite.

Because claims 9-13, 17-24 and 28-30 depend directly or indirectly upon claim 1, and because these claims do not remedy the lack of indefiniteness of claim 1, these claims are thus properly rejected under this statute.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,959 Page 4

Art Unit: 1655

No Claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia Leith whose telephone number is (571) 272-0968. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on (571) 272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patricia Leith Primary Examiner Art Unit 1655

Latricia full

November 21, 2006